A Similarity Measure Between Unordered Vector Sets with Application to Image Categorization



Yan Liu and Florent Perronnin, XRCE, CVPR 2008

Problem Statement

to compute the similarity between two unordered variable-size vector sets

Approach:

- to model each vector set with its GMM and then compute a probabilistic measure of similarity
- to adapt the GMM from a common *universal* GMM using the maximum a posteriori (MAP) criterion
- to derive similarity measures between GMMs, taking advantage of their adapted nature, i.e. 1:1 correspondence between Gaussian components.
 - Kullback-Leibler Kernel (KLK):
 - Probability Product Kernel (PPK):
- use a **kernel classifier** to take advantage of the proposed similarity measure for classification

Evaluation

- classification performance on PASCAL VOC 2006/2007 using Kernel Logistic Regression (KLR)
 - comparison of MAP estimation over MLE
 - impact of similarity measure choice
- robustness to variations in common *universal* GMM, through cross-database experiments
- computational cost analysis



2- Vector Sets as GMMs

MAP_OTO

Cost: Similar computational cost but considerably lower number of iterations.

One-To-One Gaussian mapping (MAP_OTO): There is a one-to-one correspondence between the i-th Gaussian of two GMMs adapted from the same common universal GMM [RQD00].

PPK_MAP_OTO

Probabilistic Product Kernels: PPK

$$K^{\rho}_{ppk}(p,q) = \int p(x)^{\rho}q(x)^{\rho}dx$$
.

Kullback-Leibler Kernel: KLK

$$KL(p||q) = \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$$





 $J_{x \in \Omega}$ $J_{x \in \Omega}$ q(x)ρ=1, Expected Likelihood Kernel ρ =0.5, Bhattacharyya Kernel Closed form solution between two Gaussians $KL(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \frac{|\Sigma_q|}{|\Sigma_p|} + \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_q^{-1}\Sigma_p) \right]$ Closed form solution between two Gaussians $K_{ppk}^{\rho}(p,q) = (2\pi)^{(1-2\rho)D/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2} |\Sigma_p|^{-\rho/2} |\Sigma_q|^{-\rho/2}$ $+(\mu_p - \mu_q)^T \Sigma_q^{-1}(\mu_p - \mu_q) - D$. $\exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}\mu_p^\top \Sigma_p^{-1}\mu_p - \frac{\rho}{2}\mu_q^\top \Sigma_q^{-1}\mu_q + \frac{1}{2}\mu^\top \Sigma\mu\right),$ Existing approximation [GGG03] Existing approximation [JK03] $KL(p||q) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \left(KL(p_i||q_{\pi(i)}) + \log \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_{\pi(i)}} \right)$ $\pi(i) = \arg\min_j \left(KL(p_i||q_j) - \log \beta_j \right)$ $K^{\rho}_{ppk}(p,q) \approx \sum \sum \alpha_{i} \beta_{j} K^{\rho}_{ppk}(p_{i},q_{j})$ Our approximation $i=1 \ j=1$ mixture weights $KL(p||q) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \left(KL(p_i||q_i) + \log \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \right)$ Proposed similarity measure when both GMMs are adapted from the same universal model Proposed similarity measure when both GMMs are adapted from the same universal model $K^{\rho}_{ppk}(p,q) \approx \sum \alpha_i \beta_i K^{\rho}_{ppk}(p_i,q_i)$ SKL(p,q) = KL(p||q) + KL(q||p) $K_{klk}(p,q) = \exp(-SKL(p,q))$. must ensure positive definite kernel matrix **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS SETUP Low-level feature** vectors extracted on grids at multiple scales (local gradient histograms and RGB stats) PASCAL VOC 2006 Iterative splitting and retraining for universal model GMM Classification: Sparse Logistic Regression (SLR) trained in a one-vs-all manner (very similar results with SVM), late fusion of feature-type scores PASCAL VOC2006 dataset, 10 classes, 2618 training images, 2610 test images, Area Under Curve (AUC) for measuring performance **PASCAL VOC2007 dataset**, 20 classes, 5011 training images, 4952 test images, Average Precision (AP) for

Proprietary dataset, 120000 unannotated images randomly captured from a photofinishing workflow



		BOW	Img-GMM	Proposed
Model Estimation	robust to low number of samples	yes	no	yes
	low computational cost	yes	no	yes
Similarity Measure	high precision	no	no	yes
	low computational cost	yes	no	yes
	class-independent representation	no	yes	yes
Supervised Classification	high precision	yes	no	yes
	low computational cost	yes	no	yes



CONCLUSIONS

measuring performance

- MAP estimation outperforms MLE. The relevance factor (τ) can affect performance
- Our one to one approximation of the similarity measures
 - greatly improves the cost for both PPK and KLK (now linear in number of Gaussians)
 actually improves the one to many approximation in the case of PPK
 - perform similarly to one another (PPK vs. KLK)
- Excellent classification performance on PASCAL VOC 2006/2007 datasets
- No change in performance when external dataset is used for training universal model

Future work

- Application on larger scale database.
- Evaluate other adaptation techniques, e.g. Maximum Likelihood Linear Regression (MLLR), Cluster Adaptive Training (CAT) or "eigenvoices" have proven results in speech recognition.
- Evaluate further approximations of PPK or other probabilistic similarity measures

References

- **[CD04]** G. Csurka, C. Dance, L. Fan, J. Willamowski and C. Bray, *Visual categorization with bags of keypoints*, ECCV workshop, 2004.
- **GGG03]** J. Goldberger, S. Gordon and H. Greenspan, An Efficient Image Similarity Measure based on Approximations of KL-Divergence Between Two Gaussian Mixtures, ICCV, 2003.
- **[JK03]** T. Jebara and R. Kondor, *Bhattacharyya and expected likelihood kernels*, Proc. of the 16th annual conference on learning theory and seventh kernel workshop, 2003.
- [Lo04] D. Lowe, Distinctive image features from scale-invariant key-points, IJCV, 2004.
- [MVH03] P. Moreno, N. Vasconcelos, and P. Ho, A Kullback-Leibler divergence based kernel for SVM classification in multimedia application, NIPS, 2003.
- **[RQD00]** D. Reynolds, T. Quatieri, and R. Dunn, *Speaker verification using adapted Gaussian mixture models*, Digital Signal Processing, 2000.
- **[SZ03]** J. Sivic and A. Zisserman, *Video Google: a text retrieval approach to object matching in videos*, ICCV, 2003.
- **[**Va04] N. Vasconcelos, On the efficient evaluation of probabilistic similarity functions for image retrieval, IEEE Trans. on PAMI, 2004, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 1482-1496.
- [VHM04] N. Vasconcelos, P. Ho and P. Moreno, The Kullback-Leibler kernel as a framework for discriminant and localized representations for visual recognition, ECCV, 2004.